1:09-cr-10030-MMM-JAG # 41-9 Page 1 of 19

Tuesday, 20 October, 2009 02:02:40 PM Clerk, U.S. District Court, ILCD

EXHIBIT 56



65 Route 4 East River Edge, New Jersey 07661 t: 201.441.9056 f: 201.441.9435 www.hartmanndoherty.com

New York Office 110 Wall Street, 11th Floor New York, New York 1000S t: 212.344.4619

Short Hills Office 51 JFK Parkway, 1st Floor West Short Hills, New Jersey 07078 t: 973.218.2599

October 13, 2009

Honorable Michael M. Mihm United States District Judge 204 United States Courthouse 100 N.E. Monroe Street Peoria, IL 61602

> Re: USA v. Ali Saleh Kahlah al-Marri Criminal No. 09-10030

Dear Judge Mihm:

In anticipation of sentencing in the above-referenced matter, I would like to share with the Court my personal impressions of the defendant, Ali Saleh Kahlah al-Marri. Such impressions are based on my experience interacting with him from February 4, 2003, when I became his attorney and first met him in the Special Housing Unit ("SHU") at the Metropolitan Correctional Center ("MCC") Manhattan, where he was being held in solitary confinement, until the present. I also would like to share with the Court my personal impression of the unusually severe conditions of Mr. al-Marri's pretrial confinement in New York and Peoria, while still a criminal defendant, that is, before he was designated an enemy combatant by President Bush on June 23, 2003.

My impressions of Mr. al-Marri are based on my observations of, and personal experience with, him over the past 61/2 years. Indeed, I am one of the few people who have had direct contact with him for that extended period of time. Especially before he was designated an enemy combatant, I spent many hours meeting with him in the SHU and, in addition to discussions regarding the legal issues in his case, I also developed a sufficiently close personal relationship that he felt comfortable discussing with me intimate concerns relating to the welfare of his wife and children, and how hoped they would conduct themselves during his prolonged Because of this unique relationship, discussed at greater below, when Mr. al-Marri was precipitously detained incommunicado by the government in June 2003, it was I petitioned the Court as Mr. al-Marri's "Next Friend" under the habeas statute, a position which by law can only be filled by one with a particularly close connection to the person on whose behalf the petition is filed.

I am hopeful that, by sharing my thoughts, the Court will be provided with a more complete picture of the defendant as a person, which can then be factored into the Court's sentencing determination. Also, by sharing my recollection of Mr. al-Marri's conditions of confinement in New York and Peoria, I am hopeful that the Court will be persuaded that those conditions, combined with his harsh treatment by the Defense Intelligence Agency ("DIA") while at the Naval Consolidated Brig in Charleston, and his continued isolation at the Brig even after his interrogations ended and the more enlightened views of the honorable members of the military and civilian Brig staff began to gain traction, were sufficiently atypical to warrant the Court's imposing a sentence less severe than the Court might otherwise impose.

DISCUSSION

In February 2003, I was a partner in the Criminal Group at Gibbons, P.C., in Newark, New Jersey. At that time, Larry Lustberg and I were asked to represent a Qatari national, Mr. al-Marri, who was charged in the Southern District of New York with various fraud and false statement counts, but who was suspected by the government of involvement with al-Qaeda. The proposed representation posed a personal dilemma.

Indeed, Mr. al-Marri would appear to be my polar opposite. I am Jewish and, around the time we were asked to represent Mr. al-Marri, I became president of my local Orthodox synagogue, a position in which \tilde{I} served for three years while representing him. I come from a fiercely patriotic family, whose roots in America go back over 120 years, and I am deeply appreciative of the great benefits that this country has bestowed on the world, in general, and on the Jewish people, in particular. Although my family -safe in America -- was left untouched by Hitler's effort to exterminate Europe's Jews during World War II, my mother-in-law's parents and much of her extended family were annihilated in Auschwitz; she and her sister survived only because a righteous Polish Catholic family agreed to their father's final request to hide them. I am a Zionist with a deep love for the State of Israel and cognizant of its critical importance to the continued welfare of the Jewish people. Having studied at the Hebrew University in Jerusalem while a college student, and having lived in Israel with my wife and four children for two years (2006-2008) while this case was pending, I was and remain well aware of the existential threat posed by militant Islam to the United States, to the State of

Israel, and to the Jewish people. I harbor no illusions regarding the nature of Islamic fundamentalism in its modern incarnation.

Yet, I also believe that -- on an individual level -- people can be influenced by their interactions with others; I believe that thoughtful people are capable of change. From the outset of my involvement in this case, I committed myself not only to advocating zealously that Mr. al-Marri was entitled to receive the full due process demanded by the Constitution, but to the following proposition, as well: if, in fact, Mr. al-Marri was the individual the government alleged him to be, then I had a rare opportunity to influence the worldview of someone who was perhaps opposed to every ideal that I value. That opportunity -- to affect the worldview of a committed Muslim -- was one I was not prepared to let pass.

Remarkably, despite holding very strong (but not necessarily atypical) views regarding the Arab/Israeli conflict, U.S. relations with Persian Gulf governments, purported Jewish/Israeli influence over U.S. foreign policy, and other issues of importance to Muslims worldwide, Mr. al-Marri knew essentially nothing about Judaism, and did not seem to have ever had a meaningful relationship with a Jew. He proved eager to learn, to hear opposing viewpoints, and to consider whether they could be reconciled with his religious view of the world. Indeed, perhaps because I had an academic background in Islamic affairs, or because we were both educated in orthodox religious schools, Mr. al-Marri and I quickly developed a close He was eager to teach me more about Islam; he was eager to learn about Judaism; we both were interested in the areas of religious overlap. For example, I was able to appreciate the importance to him of having access while imprisoned to Islamic texts interpreting the Koran, a desire that any Orthodox Jewish inmate would immediately understand because it is an obligation in both religions to engage in detailed study of religious texts. Similarly, while incarcerated at the SHU, Mr al-Marri was concerned that the kosher meals he was being served did not also satisfy the hallal rules for Islamic ritual slaughter. We discussed at some length the two religions' different-yet-similar approaches ritual slaughter. Ultimately, he asked me to inquire of Orthodox rabbi as to certain specific requirements of kosher slaughter, and he trusted that authority in coming to a decision regarding his own personal observance while incarcerated.

Mr. al-Marri and I also had many discussions about Middle East politics. Indeed, my family and I moved to Israel on August 15, 2006, a day after a U.N.-brokered cease fire went into effect ending the Second Lebanon War. During that conflict, Mr. al-Marri

and I had intense discussions regarding Hezbollah's and Israel's respective justifications for their actions. At the end of those discussions Mr. al-Marri did not become a member of AIPAC; he did, however, demonstrate the ability to listen, to understand, and to consider new ideas and views contrary to his own. That is, he demonstrated the skills and self-awareness necessary to change.

I believe that Mr. al-Marri has changed from the day I first met him on February 4, 2003. That change has come about despite, and not because of, the harsh conditions of confinement that he has been subjected to over the past 8 years. Specifically, it appears to me that Mr. al-Marri has been moved by the relationships he has formed with his defense attorneys -- especially with Cheryl & Andy Savage -- as well as by his interactions with those soldiers and sailors, officers and enlisted men, who endeavored to treat him with honor, fairness, and integrity once the DIA ceased its interrogations of him at the Brig. 1 Moreover, that respect was reciprocated by Mr. al-Marri. When he felt he was being treated unfairly, he occasionally protested in a manner (e.g., throwing food) not unique among prisoners long confined in solitary confinement; but never in my entire career have I heard of an inmate, like Mr. al-Marri, who before engaging in such symbolic acts of protest warned the soldiers guarding him to move out of the way so their uniforms would not be sullied.

Make no mistake: Mr. al-Marri remains a committed Muslim; I presume that he still believes that America's involvement in the Middle East is misguided and his personal experience over the past 8 years may have reinforced his view that the United States fails to live up to the high standards of due process, fairness, transparency, and democratic ideals that it advocates throughout the world (while sometimes turning a blind eye to these principles in its dealings with the Middle East). He is now cognizant, however, of a facet of American character to which he had not previously been exposed, or which he did not previously appreciate. He has developed relationships that are meaningful to him; he has

¹ I note that, of the many mistakes in the government's handling of Mr. al-Marri's case, one of the most short-sighted errors was the government's failure over the past 8 years to make any concerted effort whatsoever (other than threats and other punitive measures) to influence Mr. al-Marri's worldview in a positive fashion. To the extent Mr. al-Marri's understanding of America is broader and more sympathetic than it once was, that change came about solely because of his personal interactions with defense counsel and the respect later shown to him by Brig personnel.

shared experiences that have changed him; he senses deeply the loss occasioned by extended separation from his family, including the loss of his father while he was incarcerated. For those reasons, regardless of the acts (if any) he may have been prepared to engage in when he arrived in the United States over 8 years ago, it is my sense that he would not perform those acts today. This is not a man filled with rage, notwithstanding the manner in which he was treated over the past 8 years; he is man who -- having fulfilled his obligation to remain steadfast in the manner Islam commands -- would now like to return to his family and spend the rest of his days with them.

There are those who -- knowing nothing of me or Mr. al-Marri -- will say that I am naïve or otherwise suffer from Stockholm Syndrome (notwithstanding that I was not the one incarcerated). the contrary, I believe that the United States must continue to take vigorous steps to root out militant Islam (albeit in a manner consistent with those values and constitutional principles that have made this country a light to other nations). A sentence imposed in a federal district court, however, is not an act of war; it must be a thoughtful and individualized determination that focuses on the accomplishments and failings of the individual appearing before the Court. Mr. al-Marri is a Muslim but -- to the extent his actions suggest that he once was -- he is no longer militant. Indeed, while reasonable minds can debate the gravity of his prior intentions, there is no evidence that al-Marri poses a continuing threat to the United States, there is no doubt that he been punished over the past 8 years by conditions of confinement more severe than those imposed on perhaps any other pretrial detainee in this country (including the two other previously detained enemy combatants), and there is no question that he will one day be released and returned home. Given those circumstances, it makes sense for this Court to err on the side of grace by recognizing that Mr. al-Marri was treated in a manner that did not live up to American standards (whether one characterizes those standards as moral or constitutional), and to return Mr. al-Marri to Qatar bearing a message that, though our system may sometimes move slowly, ultimately even our foes are treated fairly and humanely.

Sadly, such treatment has been the exception and not the norm in this case. While Mr. al-Marri's treatment after he was designated an enemy combatant and transferred to the Navy Brig in Charleston is discussed elsewhere, the Court should be aware that Mr. al-Marri was held in solitary confinement, and treated unusually severely, from the date of his arrest on December 21,

2001. At the MCC in Manhattan, the SHU is a prison-within-aprison, fully segregated from other inmates and outside activities. Indeed, Mr. al-Marri was not afforded any outside recreation the entire time he was incarcerated at the MCC; he did not ever see the sun. He also was denied any telephone contact with his family. described in a January 6, 2003 letter Mr. al-Marri wrote to Southern District Judge Marrero (attached as Exhibit A), his treatment in the SHU was brutal: he was repeatedly strip searched, the guards woke him 3-4 times every night, they mocked his religion, he was denied telephone calls with his lawyer, otherwise treated like the most violent of convicted felons even though he had not been convicted of any offense and posed no physical danger to anyone. The treatment described in Mr. al-Marri's letter and, in particular, his isolation from others, continued throughout the period of his incarceration in Manhattan.

Before the district court in New York granted a defense motion to dismiss the indictments then pending on the basis that the Constitution prohibited his having been transferred from Peoria and prosecuted in the Southern District of New York, Mr. al-Marri, Mr. Lustberg, and I met with Deputy U.S. Attorney (later Acting U.S. Attorney) David N. Kelley in the Offices of the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York. At that meeting, Mr. Kelley informed Mr. al-Marri that, if he refused to cooperate with the government and insisted on venue in the Central District Illinois, a right afforded him by the Constitution, the conditions of his confinement would be exacerbated. In fact, they When transferred from Manhattan to the Peoria County Jail, Mr. al-Marri was held in solitary confinement on 24-hour lockdown, he was denied any personal possessions, he was taken to shower in handcuffs and shackles, when he complained of numbness and other ailments associated physical with prolonged isolation confinement the nurse suggested "he could do push-ups, sit-ups or run in place" in his cell, and he was denied access to counsel, Qatari consular officers, family, reading materials, recreation, appropriate medical treatment, and religious items, including even a Koran. These facts are corroborated by Jail Incident Reports attached as Exhibit B, and consistent with findings made by the Office of Inspector General in its June 2003 report: "The September 11 Detainees: A Review of the Treatment of Aliens Held on Immigration Charges in Connection with the Investigation of the September 11 Attacks."

In short, the conditions of confinement imposed upon Mr. al-Marri while he was still a pretrial detainee, and presumed to be innocent, were unlike any I have ever personally encountered (or

heard about) with respect to any other defendant. Not only was Mr. al-Marri denied his liberty which, perhaps, is not so unusual for a pretrial detainee, but the severe restrictions imposed upon him were intended to be punitive and, in any event, had that effect. Because the 19 months Mr. al-Marri spent as a pretrial detainee, prior to his being designated an enemy combatant, were atypically harsh — and certainly significantly more severe than the conditions experienced by the typical pretrial defendant who receives credit for time spent incarcerated while awaiting trial — Mr. al-Marri's sentence should be mitigated to reflect the fact that he has, in that respect, already been punished more severely than the typical defendant.

CONCLUSION

Over the years, Mr. al-Marri has been very appreciative of his attorneys' efforts on his behalf but, as a man of deep religious faith, he has always made clear his belief that ultimately only God will decide when he will be released. I understand that religious worldview. As an officer of the Court, however, I have always maintained a separate faith that the federal judiciary would eventually fully re-assert itself, as it recently has, as a coequal branch of government, and vindicate against assertions of unlimited executive authority those individual constitutional rights conceived by men wiser than we for the very purpose of ensuring that we do not descend to the level of our enemies, who do not fully value all human life as a moral imperative.

It is axiomatic that the Judeo-Christian tradition commands "Justice, justice you shall pursue." Deut. 16:20. Less familiar is the reason offered for that duty: "Justice, justice you shall pursue -- so you may live, and be worthy of inheriting the land which the Lord has given you." The word "justice" is repeated twice not simply to emphasize its importance, but also as an acknowledgment that reasonable people can differ on what justice demands. As the text suggests, however, when deciding between different conceptions of justice, the Court should select one which affirms the value of life and the principles we have inherited from our forefathers, so that we remain worthy of inheriting this country's great blessings.

As Mr. al-Marri's 8-year saga nears its dénouement, this Court is asked by the government to choose a conception of justice that would deny that a day in the Brig is a day in prison, that abuse of one held as an enemy combatant is prisoner abuse, that prolonged isolation is psychologically damaging, and that harassment of a

Muslim is religious harassment. What cannot be denied, however, is that these events took place, and that they are fundamentally un-American. This truth should be acknowledged, and reflected in Mr. al-Marri's sentence.

I thank the Court for its consideration of this letter.

Respectfylly yours,

REDACTED REDACTED

Mark A. Kerman

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

-against-

02 Cr. 147 (VM)

ORDER

ALI SALEH KAHLAH AL-MARRI,

Defendant.

100 2 43

VICTOR MARRERO, United States District Judge.

On January 6, 2003, defendant Ali Al-Marri ("Al-Marri") sent the attached letter directly to the Court, rather than through his lawyer, objecting to the conditions of his confinement in the Special Housing Unit ("SHU") of the Metropolitan Correction Center. The Court recently issued a ruling, by Order dated December 30, 2002, denying his motion for immediate transfer out of the SHU. Accordingly, it is hereby

ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court transmit a copy of Al-Marri's letter to his attorney in this action and to the Government; and it is finally

ORDERED that Al-Marri no longer send ex parte communications to the Court, and instead shall communicate with the Court only through his attorney.

A CENT FIED COPY J. MICHAEL MOMAHON, ACTING CLERK

MICROFILN JAN 10 2003 -3 99



SO ORDERED:

Dated:

New York, New York

9 January, 2002

& REDACTED

Victor Marrero U.S.D.J.

onorable Judge marrero

Jan - 8 - 03

your honor, enough is engenough. I have to get out of here - Administration dedention - Aman Can take only-So mash, you honor.

Mour honor I am i'm a deep emotionel depression and distress. I am too accupied dealing & solving my daily problem in the 9-South, to think or help my Lawer to defend my Self.

your honor, Do you know that they the Guards weake Me up during Sleeping at night 3 or 4 times Soying " Almari' are you don't and Kucking on my Cell door, then Leave. Do you Y :ow, that they Strip search me every time I go to medical or earn to the Law Library which is in my wint or worse, thy will Search my cell, then strip Search me. It is against my religion. and Custom to be seen naked, it is very been insulting & disgrace espicially, when they say after taking all of your clother aft, turn around a bend over to spread them with your hand. Do you Know, il have not talked to my wife, kidskotter family member for the last Six months, because one guard lied about me a thy gave mer attacket of not calling my family. Doyar Know Sometimes they will do my laundry and return everyberry elec Laundry traithin hours, and mine after 3 days. Do you Know Some guard would said to me " it is a rap, we have captured him, he is. dead, no more praying, elsaid what are you talking about, he said We have Captured Allah, no more prayer, he is down. Do you now il have been in the hole (2x3/2 meter) and having a camera is my Cell, they watch me even when I used the toilet. And I spent 24 hours down insid So to avoid the harrassmut & insult from Gurds when I go to recreation which is another big Cage.

Do you know that I have not had aphone Call to my Lawer for almost 2 months.
daily, I can't think Clear to help my Lawer to defined my Self, everytime he comes to visit I would too complaining
about them, ortal ain to upset & distress to talk to
Merch about my case.
Jon honor all of the above problem is because claim in the 9- South. Tam assumed Guilty until proven
otherwise - Every body think I am connected to the Sop. Event
often when I show and thou may court paper and it is and
C'edit Cand, they Say why then you are not in population l'in arbas ar mailims. You honor, I have been found guilty before the Court Lecied.
l'e other arbar cr mashims.
- you henor, I have been found guilty before the
- Court decied
- you herror, I Seek Justic from Allah first, then
from you my Charges does met ment staying in the
administrative detention of air being punished before conviction
administrative detention. I am being punished before conviction. you have, please get me out at here, please.
Almarri, Ali Almarri, Ali Reyt. 12194-026
MCC-NY
150 parle Row
New York, My load

FIL	E No.472 06/	26 '03 15	:13 ID:	HINSHAW	& CULB	ERTSON ≫iöiä	ទី[ក]ក	30967	'4932 1	8 V] .	ļ	۱ <i>ω</i> ۲	FAI	3E] - 3	2
	Ked	Day A	1-10-1	land	8	ARRATIVE	خ (ق	?		S	S	0	0	2	There
	ठ	piece	Arr		7	Adju Ne	- Da⊕6.			20	05	20	G	0	2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
	700	H. K.	EB	45		F-famile K	K-Deed Before Report			3	3	E	23	107	
	Called	200	S F.	TH.	3	The X-Ownord				FF	H	B	0	3	Pages
	led GH Guard Station	white paper that look	Hempting to	intely removed the	arri hewas taking	W-White M-Negro M-Merican J-Japan	C-Complained No Indication of Injury A-Bleeding. C				H-Marci Li	Of Briggs	DCs Kester	DR Basheld	JAIL INCIDENT REPORT
	Daniel L. Dastield	to have 3 lm	window of his cell that	ester and informed him o	- T	Rican C-Chinese O	B-Visible Injury		-	w Roual Dr Pero		301 n. Maxwell	301 N). Maxwell	(30) D. Maxwell	PEORIA COUNTY SHERIFFS DEPT
96-1/451/156	336 Distriction (DX 574	ermission to search his cell and will	the inmates a phone number. That faces the yard writting.	m the yard.		E: POL-On Dury Poice OD-Off Dury Police INM-Inmare	S-Suspect V-Victim W-Witness O-Other (Narr.) C-No Visible Injury, Momentary Unconscious, Complaint of Pein				78264 Phylos 070894285				1744 DE 02 103 June 141, 140 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10

FILE No.472 06/26 '03 15:14	ID:HINSHAW & CULBERTSON FAX:3096749328	PAGE 3
Supervisors Comments:	Said that Al-Marri ha Bible. But the number Number was and he sa houses to Argo's Appliance Pages I slamic Center	NARRATIVE CONT.:
T-Sea - N-CO	him to the handle of FS-3 all movement in the East 1 his cell. No paper resembling Found. After completing the Dound of Belight of Loss-4650 or something labor from the Belight of Peoria # 13 688-4605	and officer Briggs came to assist. We cuffed All-Marri behind his
TA-FROVING	Search I was writing on was search to question F4-7 search I was talking to Dagues to Muslim call. Tasked Occarra what the like that I called the # and it of the Organizations in the yellow	Mer to assist. Marri behind his back and cuffed

JALL NODENT REPORT PROCESSOR AND PROCESSOR THE PORT PROCESSOR AND PROCESSOR THE PORT SON MENDERLY REPORT SON M
JAIL INCIDENT REPORT Page JAIL INCIDENT REPORT E SPECIAL STATE TORM STATE OF LIGHT TORM S
JAIL INCIDENT REPORT Page JAIL INCIDENT REPORT E SPECIAL STATE TORM STATE OF LIGHT TORM S
JAIL INCIDENT REPORT To Secretary To Secretary To Secretary To Many Steve To
JAIL INCIDENT REPORT To Secretary To Secretary To Secretary To Many Steve To
JAIL INCIDENT REPORT E Spect "" " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "
JAIL INCIDENT REPORT JOHN ROW JOHN
CIDENT REPORT Spect "" STITUTE TO THE TOTAL STATE OF THE
DENT REPORT SPEC (11) STONE CONTROL TONE TO MAKE TONE TO MAKE TONE TO MAKE TONE TO MAKE TONE SO MAKE TONE TO MAKE TONE T
REPORT St Dight Tom John Chined from Scene B-Visible Injury Comp Chined from Scene B-Visible Injury Co-Other IDESCRIBE IN MAXING IN PROCEEDS A OLDOR DISCRIBE IN MATTAINER O-Other IDESCRIBE IN MATTAINER O-Other IDESCRIBE IN MATTAINER O-Other IDESCRIBE IN MATTAINER O-Other IDESCRIBE B-Visible Injury Color Discrete Injury Color Discrete B-Visible Injury Color Discrete B-Visible Injury Color Discrete Injury Color Discrete B-Visible Injury Color Discrete Injury Color Di
301 Maximon Commission Commission Commission Pravio Acon Commission Commissio
301 Maximo morning of meres of the passing for maximo prices of meres of the passing for maximo prices of the passing for because and about that he passing for beautiful to passing for the pas
Maxwell R
Maxwell R
Mary Mary Commission of the city of the ci
المحمر المالية
That are in the state of the st
TO TO SE POL-ON BE POL-ON BE POL-ON BE STORY HOMENING TO SEE POL-ON BE S
Des around on the control of the con
Towns of the state
Comy Police OD-OIL OIL Comy Police OD-OIL OIL OIL OIL OIL OIL OIL OIL OIL OIL
The permitted of the control of the
O-Olihar (Marr) O-Olihar (Marr
West of the linus

there traver in a to to went on to a walk in a should appeal	SEC. B: K-Dood Before Roport O- SEC. B: K-Dood Before Roport O- SEC. D: M-Doole f-Female K-Unknown NARPHATIVE: WARPHATIVE: STANLY AND MELLE MARKET STANLY AND MARKET MARKATILLIAM AND MARKET MARKET AND MARKET MARKET AND MARKET MARKET AND MARKET MAR	FAX:3096749328	Page one (1) of Pages 1 C W O S M Po
who the the full on the his little in his little time.	C.—Complanant C.—Complanant A.—Beoding, C. I.—Intoxicated/Under Influence W.—Write N.—Napiro M.—Mariera J.—Japan B. J. J. J. J. J. R. J. J. J. J. R. J. J. J. J. J. J. R. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. R. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. R. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. R. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. R. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. R. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. R. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. R. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. R. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. R. J. R. J. R. J.		JAIL INCIDENT REPORT
Here we have the mark of the m	B-Walls injury In Narrathol In Narrathol I LLL GL AL Mark AL	S Support	PEORIA COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPT. 2 Setter water 2 Setter of July County for similar and
Then be	POL-On Duly Police	V-Victim W-Witness	12. 12. 13. 13. 13. 13. 13. 13. 13. 13. 13. 13
Men faces (Now Smith (Lever Smith)	OD-OH Outy Police INM-ternals	O-Other (Narr.)	03, 819